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#### Abstract

The dicyclopentadienylaluminium alkoxides of general formula $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Al}-\mu-\mathrm{OR}\right]_{2}$ where $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Et}, n-\mathrm{Bu}, i-\mathrm{Bu}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} t-\mathrm{Bu}, s-\mathrm{Bu}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-4-t-\mathrm{Bu}(\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{8})$ were prepared by reacting CpNa with $\mathrm{ROAlCl}_{2}$ at the molar ratio 2:1, respectively (2-8) or from the reaction of $\mathrm{Cp}_{3} \mathrm{Al}$ with alcohol (1). The compounds were characterised by multinuclear NMR $\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C},{ }^{27} \mathrm{Al}\right)$ method. The molecular structures of compounds 2,5 and 6 were determined by X-ray crystallography. The $\mathrm{Cp}-\mathrm{Al}$ bonding mode in dicyclopentadienylaluminium alkoxides regarding the steric demands of alkoxy groups is discussed based on ${ }^{27} \mathrm{Al}-\mathrm{NMR}$ chemical shifts and structural data obtained. The meaningful correlation between Cp ring-slippage and ${ }^{27} \mathrm{Al}-\mathrm{NMR}$ chemical shifts was observed. (C) 2003 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.
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## 1. Introduction

Cyclopentadienylaluminium compounds have been investigated for over 40 years [1]. Their structures and bonding are of considerable interest because of the ability of cyclopentadienyl ring to form derivatives with different bonding mode to aluminium atom resulting from low energy barrier to exchange $\eta^{1} \leftrightarrow \eta^{2} \leftrightarrow \eta^{3} \leftrightarrow \eta^{5}$ [2]. The spectroscopic investigations in solution can not give clear explanation of $\mathrm{Cp}-\mathrm{Al}$ bondage [3-10] thus the proper way to examine this area are X-ray measurements or gas phase diffraction studies.

Several cyclopentadienylaluminium alkoxides or phenoxides have been described so far [11-15]. Some of them reveal monomeric structure that results from steric demands of hindered 2,6-di-tert-butyl-4-methylphenoxy (BHT) group with cyclopentadienyl rings $\eta^{5}$ bonded to aluminium atom [13]. While the other ones,

[^0]e.g. $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{AlO} i \mathrm{Pr}$, were found to be dimeric with alkoxy oxygen bridging atom and $C p$ ring $\eta^{1}$ bonded to aluminium $[14,15]$. Until now there are no data on dicyclopentadienylaluminium derivatives of tertiary alcohols. In the reaction of $t-\mathrm{BuOAlCl}_{2}$ with excess of cyclopentadienylsodium one of chlorine atoms only is substituted by cyclopentadienyl ligand due to the steric hindrance of tert-butoxy group [12]. Attempts to prepare $t-\mathrm{BuOAlCp}_{2}$ from $\mathrm{Cp}_{3} \mathrm{Al}$ and tert-butyl alcohol were unsuccessful as well [16].
Generally, the structures of alkyl and arylaluminium alkoxides or phenoxides have been investigated widely for years both in solution and solid state [17]. The studies have been undertaken to obtain information concerning the influence of substituents bonded to aluminium atom on valence-angle strain, degree of aggregation, stability of the aggregates, $\mathrm{Al}-\mathrm{O}$ bond strength, etc.
In this paper we present the analysis of $\mathrm{Cp}-\mathrm{Al}$ bonding mode in dicyclopentadienylaluminium alkoxides regarding the steric demands of alkoxy groups based on ${ }^{27}$ Al-NMR chemical shifts and X-ray crystal-
lographic data. The eight new dicyclopentadienylaluminium alkoxides were synthesised and characterised by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ - and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectroscopy. The crystal structures of $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Al}-\mu \text {-OR }\right]_{2}$ where $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Et}[18], \mathrm{CH}_{2} t-\mathrm{Bu}, s$ - Bu were determined by X-ray diffraction studies.

## 2. Experimental

### 2.1. General procedures

All manipulations were performed using vacuum and Schlenk techniques. All solvents were distilled under argon over potassium benzophenone ketyl (toluene, hexane, benzene and THF). The NMR solvent (benzene $-d_{6}$ ) was dried over activated $4-\AA$ molecular sieves and deoxygenated in vacuum. Methylaluminium dichloride, purchased as a $1.0-\mathrm{m}$ solution in hexane from Aldrich, was used without purification. Cyclopentadienylsodium was obtained in the reaction of sodium hydride in THF or sodium in xylene with freshly distilled cyclopentadiene. Alcohols were distilled under argon over sodium.

NMR spectra were recorded on Varian VXR-300 ( $300.13 \mathrm{MHz}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}, 74.43 \mathrm{MHz}{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}, 78.206 \mathrm{MHz}{ }^{27} \mathrm{Al}$ ), Varian VXR-200 ( $199.971 \mathrm{MHz}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}, 50.283 \mathrm{MHz}{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$, $52.106 \mathrm{MHz}{ }^{27} \mathrm{Al}$ ) and Varian VXR-400 ( 400.09 MHz $\left.{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}, 100.60 \mathrm{MHz}{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}, 104.25 \mathrm{MHz}^{27} \mathrm{Al}\right)$. Chemical shifts are reported relative to internal solvent resonances $\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right.$, $\left.{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right)$ and external $\left[\mathrm{Al}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{3+}\left({ }^{27} \mathrm{Al}\right)$.

### 2.2. Synthesis of $\mathrm{Cp}_{3} \mathrm{Al}$

A suspension of $\mathrm{CpNa}(15.84 \mathrm{~g}, 0.18 \mathrm{~mol})$ in toluene ( 50 ml ) was added to a stirred toluene ( 50 ml ) suspension of $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}(7.87 \mathrm{~g}, 0.059 \mathrm{~mol})$ and cooled to $-50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was warmed up to room temperature (r.t.), then heated up to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 24 h . After decantation of toluene solution and evaporation of solvent in vacuum the product was isolated as the yellow oil with $80 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right): \delta=5.92$ (s, $\left.15 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right): \delta=112.12\left(C_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$. ${ }^{27} \mathrm{Al}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right): \delta=79.62$. The spectroscopic data is consistent with ones given before by Shapiro et al. [19].

### 2.3. Synthesis of $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Al}-\mu-\mathrm{OMe}\right]_{2}$ (1)

$\mathrm{MeOH}(0.13 \mathrm{ml}, 0.0032 \mathrm{~mol})$ in toluene $(50 \mathrm{ml})$ was added dropwise to a stirred solution of $\mathrm{Cp}_{3} \mathrm{Al}$ in toluene $(50 \mathrm{ml})(0.71 \mathrm{~g}, 0.0032 \mathrm{~mol})$ at r.t. The reaction mixture was heated up to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 3 h . The product was isolated by crystallisation as white solid. Yield 0.48 $\mathrm{g}, 70 \%$. Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{AlO}$ (188.20): C, 70.20; H, 6.96; Al, 14.34. Found: C, 70.43; H, 6.89; A1, 14.05\%; $M_{\mathrm{w}}=380$ (cryoscopic in benzene), $n=2.01 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right): \delta=2.65\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.04\left(\mathrm{~s}, 10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{5} H_{5}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-$

NMR $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right): \delta 50.64\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 111,71\left(C_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) .{ }^{27} \mathrm{Al}-$ NMR ( $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ): $\delta=77.81\left(\omega_{1 / 2}=1421 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$.

### 2.4. Synthesis of $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Al}-\mu-\mathrm{OEt}\right]_{2}$ (2)

EtOH ( $1 \mathrm{ml}, 17 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in hexane ( 50 ml ) was added dropwise to a stirred solution of $\mathrm{MeAlCl}_{2}(17 \mathrm{ml}, 17$ mmol ) in hexane ( 75 ml ) cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. During the reaction, methane evolved. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm-up to r.t. and stirred overnight. The formed yellow solution was added to solid CpNa (3.79 $\mathrm{g}, 43 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was stirred overnight. Hexane solution was decanted and residue twice extracted with hexane ( $50 \mathrm{ml}+50 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The collected hexane solution (ca. 180 ml ) was concentrated to 70 ml in vacuum and cooled to $-15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The product precipitated as light-yellow crystalline solid. Yield 1.65 $\mathrm{g}, 48 \%$. Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{AlO}$ (202.23): C, 71.27; H, 7.48; Al 13.34. Found: C, 71.15; H, 7.41; Al, 13.39\%; $M_{\mathrm{w}}=391$ (cryoscopic in benzene), $n=1.94 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right): \delta=0.86\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.14 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.13(\mathrm{q}, J=$ $\left.7.14 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.08\left(\mathrm{~s}, 10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{5} H_{5}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right): \delta=16.81\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 61.13\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 112.12\left(C_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$. ${ }^{27} \mathrm{Al}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right): \delta=84.69\left(\omega_{1 / 2}=1497 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$.

### 2.5. Synthesis of $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Al}-\mu-\mathrm{OnBu}\right]_{2}$ (3)

A solution of $n-\mathrm{BuOH}(1 \mathrm{ml}, 11 \mathrm{mmol})$ in hexane ( 50 $\mathrm{ml})$ was added dropwise to a stirred solution of $\mathrm{MeAlCl}_{2}$ $(11 \mathrm{ml}, 11 \mathrm{mmol})$ in hexane $(50 \mathrm{ml})$ cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A reaction workup similar to the one described above for $\mathbf{2}$ afforded $\mathbf{3}$ as light-yellow solid. Yield $1.44 \mathrm{~g}, 57 \%$. Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{AlO}$ (230.28): C, $73.02 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.32$; Al , 11.72. Found: C, $73.15 ;$ H, 8.39 ; Al, $12.28 \% ; M_{\mathrm{w}}=455$ (cryoscopic in benzene), $n=1.98 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right): \delta=$ $0.81\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.35 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} H_{3}\right), 1.04(\mathrm{~m}, J=7.35 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), \quad 1.49(\mathrm{~m}, \quad J=7.80 \mathrm{~Hz} \quad 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), $3.20(\mathrm{t}, \quad J=8.04 \quad \mathrm{~Hz} \quad 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), 6.14 ( $\mathrm{s}, 10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{5} H_{5}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right): \delta=13.81\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $18.87\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$, $33.72 \quad\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), \quad 65.95 \quad\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right.$ $\left.C \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 112.52\left(C_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) .{ }^{27} \mathrm{Al}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right): \delta=85.49\left(\omega_{1 / 2}=\right.$ 3421 Hz ).

### 2.6. Synthesis of $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Al}-\mu-\mathrm{OiBu}\right]_{2}$ (4)

A solution of $i-\mathrm{BuOH}(1 \mathrm{ml}, 10.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ in hexane ( 50 $\mathrm{ml})$ was added dropwise to a stirred solution of $\mathrm{MeAlCl}_{2}$ $(10.8 \mathrm{ml}, 10.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ in hexane $(50 \mathrm{ml})$ cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A reaction workup similar to the one described for 2 afforded $\mathbf{4}$ as light-yellow solid. The compound decomposed during X-ray measurements. Yield $1.54 \mathrm{~g}, 62 \%$. Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{AlO}$ (230.28): C, 73.02; H, 8.32; Al, 11.72. Found: C, 72.96; H, 8.20; Al, 11.85\%; $M_{\mathrm{w}}=$ 436 (cryoscopic in benzene), $n=1.90 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right)$ : $\delta=0.73\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.80 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.71(\mathrm{~m}, J=6.80$
$\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 3.13\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.80 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.15(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right): \delta=19.50\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 30.60$ $(C H), 73.12\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 112.79\left(C_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) .{ }^{27} \mathrm{Al}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right): \delta=$ $90.44\left(\omega_{1 / 2}=3440 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$.

### 2.7. Synthesis of $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Al}-\mu-\mathrm{OCH}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}\right]_{2}$ (5)

A solution of $t-\mathrm{BuCH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}(1.36 \mathrm{~g}, 15.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ in hexane ( 50 ml ) was added dropwise to a stirred solution of $\mathrm{MeAlCl}_{2}(15.4 \mathrm{ml}, 15.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ in hexane ( 50 ml ) cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A reaction workup similar to the one described for 2 afforded 5 as white solid. Yield 2.71 g , $72 \%$. Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{AlO}$ (244.31): C, 73.74; H, 8.66; Al, 11.04. Found: C, 73.59; H, 8.75; Al, 10.87\%; $M_{\mathrm{w}}=480$ (cryoscopic in benzene), $n=1.96 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right): \delta=0.79\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.09\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 6.21(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right): \delta=26.79\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 32.62$ (C), 76,29 $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 113.76\left(C_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \cdot{ }^{27} \mathrm{Al}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right): \delta=91.34$ $\left(\omega_{1 / 2}=4221 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$.

### 2.8. Synthesis of $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Al}-\mu-\mathrm{OsBu}\right]_{2}$ (6)

A solution of $s$-BuOH ( $1 \mathrm{ml}, 10.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in hexane $(50 \mathrm{ml})$ was added dropwise to a stirred solution of $\mathrm{MeAlCl}_{2}(10.9 \mathrm{ml}, 10.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ in hexane $(50 \mathrm{ml})$ cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A reaction workup similar to the one described for 2 afforded 6 as light-yellow solid. Yield $1.60 \mathrm{~g}, 64 \%$. Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{AlO}$ (230.28): C, 73.02; H, 8.32; $\mathrm{Al}, 11.72$. Found: C, $72.91 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.41 ; \mathrm{Al}, 11.38 \% ; M_{\mathrm{w}}=$ 469 (cryoscopic in benzene), $n=2.04$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right)$ : $\delta=0.53\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.44 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right), 0.95(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.J=5.79 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right), 1.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HCH})$, $1.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HCH}), 3.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 6.12(\mathrm{~s}, 10 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right): \delta=10.43\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right)$, $21.26\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right), 31.98\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 75.61(\mathrm{CH})$, $113.77\left(C_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) .{ }^{27} \mathrm{Al}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right): \delta=104.09 \quad\left(\omega_{1 / 2}=3741\right.$ Hz ).

### 2.9. Synthesis of $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Al}-\mu-\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}_{2}\right.$ (7)

A solution of $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}(1 \mathrm{ml}, 9.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene $(50 \mathrm{ml})$ was added dropwise to a stirred solution of $\mathrm{MeAlCl}_{2}(9.7 \mathrm{ml}, 9.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene $(50 \mathrm{ml})$ cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. During the reaction methane evolved. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm up to r.t., stirred half an hour and then was added to solid $\mathrm{CpNa}(2.21 \mathrm{~g}$, $25.1 \mathrm{mmol})$. The mixture was stirred overnight. Toluene solution was decanted and residue twice extracted with toluene ( $50 \mathrm{ml}+50 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The collected toluene solution (ca. 150 ml ) was concentrated to 80 ml in vacuum and cooled to $-15{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The product precipitated as lightyellow solid. Due to the low solubility of the product in deuterated benzene or trichloromethane ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ - and ${ }^{27} \mathrm{Al}$ NMR spectra could not be recorded. Yield $0.56 \mathrm{~g}, 22 \%$. Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{AlO}$ (264.30): C, 77.25; H, 6.48; $\mathrm{Al}, 10.21$. Found: C, 77.52; H, 6.61; Al, $10.34 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-$

NMR $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right): \delta=4.16\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.97(\mathrm{~s}, 10 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ ), $7.08-7.33\left(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$.

### 2.10. Synthesis of $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Al}-\mu-O \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-4-t \mathrm{Bu}\right]_{2}$ (8)

A solution of $4-t-\mathrm{BuC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{OH}(2.25 \mathrm{~g}, 15 \mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene ( 50 ml ) was added dropwise to a stirred solution of $\mathrm{MeAlCl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{ml}, 15 \mathrm{mmol})$ in hexane $(50 \mathrm{ml})$ cooled to $5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. During the reaction methane evolved. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to r.t. and stirred overnight. The obtained light-yellow solution was added to solid $\mathrm{CpNa}(3.19 \mathrm{~g}, 36.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the reaction mixture was stirred overnight. Toluene-hexane solution was decanted and residue twice extracted with toluene $(50 \mathrm{ml}+50 \mathrm{ml})$. The collected toluene-hexane solution (c.a. 150 ml ) was concentrated to 20 ml in vacuum and cooled to $-15{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The product precipitated as white solid. Yield $1.61 \mathrm{~g}, 35 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right): \delta=1.22$ (s, $\left.9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.18\left(\mathrm{~s}, 10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{5} H_{5}\right), 7.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.64 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.m-\mathrm{C}_{6} H_{4}\right), 7.20\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.64 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, o-\mathrm{C}_{6} H_{4}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right): \delta=31.47\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 34.06(C), 112.93\left(C_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$, 121.07, $126.74(\mathrm{Ar}-C, o, m), 140.74,148.23(\mathrm{Ar}-C$, $p$; $\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CO}) .{ }^{27} \mathrm{Al}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right)$ : signal is extremely broad and overlapping with probe signal. The product forms clathrates with aromatic solvents.

### 2.11. X-ray structure determination

Single crystals of 2,5 and $\mathbf{6}$ suitable for X-ray diffraction studies were placed in a thin-walled capillaries (Lindemann glass) in an inert atmosphere. The crystallographic data, the summary of data collection and the refinement procedure are presented in Table 1. The measurements for crystals of $\mathbf{2}, 5$ were performed on four-circle diffractometer Kuma KM4 and for $\mathbf{6}$ on Siemens P3 diffractometer.

Data were collected by the $\omega-2 \theta$ technique at ambient temperature (in case of $\mathbf{2}$ the study was performed at 100 K ). The measured intensities were processed with Lorentz-polarisation effects and crystal decomposition (absorption corrections were not necessary). The structures were solved by direct methods using shelxs-86 program [20], completed by subsequent difference Fourier syntheses, and refined by full-matrix least-squares method against $F^{2}$ (shelXL-97 [21]). All non-hydrogen atoms were anisotropically refined. The positional and isotropic thermal parameters for hydrogen atoms in 2 were refined. The Cpl ring in 5 was disordered over two rotational positions with refined final s.o.f. equal to $0.59(2)$ and $0.41(2)$. The hydrogen atoms in 5 were included in calculated positions and refined isotropically except $\mathrm{H}(1)$ and $\mathrm{H}(6)$ where positions were also refined. As we found in the refinement process of 6, the sec-butyl group was disordered over two opposite sites that almost mirrored against a plane defined by the central $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ ring. The disorder was

Table 1
Summary of crystal data for compounds 2, 5 and $\mathbf{6}$

|  | 2 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| Formula weight | 404.44 | 488.60 | 460.54 |
| Temperature (K) | 100.0(3) | 293(2) | 293(3) |
| Radiation | Mo $-K_{\alpha}(\lambda=0.71073 \AA)$, graphitemonochromated | $\mathrm{Mo}-K_{\alpha}(\lambda=0.71073 \AA)$, graphitemonochromated | Mo $-K_{\alpha}(\lambda=0.71073 \AA)$, graphitemonochromated |
| Crystal system | Monoclinic | Triclinic | Monoclinic |
| Space group | $P 2_{1} / n$ (no. 14) | $P \overline{1}$ (no. 2) | $P 2_{1} / n$ (no. 14) |
| Z | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| Unit cell dimensions |  |  |  |
| $a(\AA)$ | 9.052(2) | 8.646(2) | 8.945(1) |
| $b(\AA)$ | 9.682(2) | 9.337(2) | 9.393(1) |
| $c(\AA)$ | $12.285(2)$ | 9.387(2) | 12.399(2) |
| $\alpha\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 90 | 70.81(3) | 90 |
| $\beta\left({ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}\right.$ | 93.71(3) | 88.05(3) | 103.33(1) |
| $\gamma\left({ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}\right.$ | 90 | 70.57(3) | 90 |
| $V\left(\AA^{3}\right)$ | 1074.4(4) | 672.5(3) | 1340.8(3) |
| $D_{\text {calc }}\left(\mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}\right)$ | 1.250 | 1.206 | 1.141 |
| Absorption coefficient ( $\mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ ) | 0.152 | 0.133 | 0.130 |
| Number of reflections collected | 2031 | 3403 | 5464 |
| Number of unique reflections | $1896\left(R_{\text {int }}=0.0176\right)$ | 3213 ( $\left.R_{\text {int }}=0.0369\right)$ | $2367\left(R_{\text {int }}=0.0286\right)$ |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 1896/0/187 | 3213/30/226 | 2367/9/185 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $F^{2}$ a | 1.027 | 1.044 | 1.008 |
| Reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$ | 1399 | 2366 | 1483 |
| Final $R$ indices ( $I>2 \sigma(I))^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $R_{1}=0.0286, w R_{2}=0.0762$ | $R_{1}=0.0428, w R_{2}=0.1173$ | $R_{1}=0.0445, w R_{2}=0.1060$ |
| $R$ indices (all data) ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $R_{1}=0.0576, w R_{2}=0.0859$ | $R_{1}=0.0678, w R_{2}=0.1309$ | $R_{1}=0.0808, w R_{2}=0.1179$ |
| Largest difference peak and hole ( $\mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}$ ) | +0.263 and -0.196 | +0.289 and -0.282 | +0.166 and -0.121 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Goodness-of-fit $S=\left\{\left[w\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}-F_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\right)^{2}\right] /(n-p)\right\}^{1 / 2}$ where $n$ is the number of reflections and $p$ is the total number of parameters refined.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}} R_{1}=\Sigma| | F_{\mathrm{o}}\left|-\left|F_{\mathrm{c}}\right|\right| \Sigma\left|F_{\mathrm{c}}\right|, w R_{2}=\left\{\Sigma\left[w\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}-F_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\right)^{2}\right] / \Sigma\left[w\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}\right)^{2}\right]\right\}^{1 / 2}$.
modelled in terms of two sets of $s$ - Bu groups with refined occupancy factors $0.62(1)$ and $0.38(1)$. In case of 6 H -atoms were placed in calculated positions with assigned thermal parameters $\left[U(\mathrm{H})=1.2 \times U_{\text {eq }}(\mathrm{C})\right]$ and $\left[U(\mathrm{H})=1.5 \times U_{\text {eq }}(\mathrm{C})\right]$ for methyl and disordered groups.

## 3. Results and discussion

### 3.1. Synthesis

The dicyclopentadienylaluminium alkoxides $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Al}-\right.$ $\mu-\mathrm{OR}]_{2}$ (where $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Et}$ (2), $n-\mathrm{Bu}$ (3), $i-\mathrm{Bu}$ (4), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} t-\mathrm{Bu}$ (5), $s-\mathrm{Bu}$ (6), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ (7), $\left.\mathrm{Ph}-p-t-\mathrm{Bu}(8)\right)$ were obtained by the method described previously [14] according to Eq. (1) from the reaction of $\mathrm{ROAlCl}_{2}$ and CpNa in hexane or toluene-hexane mixture at ambient temperature.
$2 \mathrm{ROAlCl}_{2}+4 \mathrm{CpNa} \rightarrow\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Al}-\mu-\mathrm{OR}\right]_{2}+4 \mathrm{NaCl}$
The dichloroaluminium alkoxides were obtained in the reaction of $\mathrm{MeAlCl}_{2}$ with alcohol (Eq. (2)).
$\mathrm{MeAlCl}_{2}+\mathrm{ROH} \rightarrow \mathrm{ROAlCl}_{2}+\mathrm{MeH}$

The reaction products were not isolated and used directly in the syntheses of dicyclopentadienylaluminium derivatives $\mathbf{2}-\mathbf{8}$.
$\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Al}-\mu-\mathrm{OMe}\right]_{2}(\mathbf{1})$ was obtained from the reaction of $\mathrm{Cp}_{3} \mathrm{Al}$ and methyl alcohol in toluene at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ according to Eq. (3).
$2 \mathrm{Cp}_{3} \mathrm{Al}+2 \mathrm{MeOH} \rightarrow\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Al}-\mu-\mathrm{OMe}\right]_{2}+2 \mathrm{CpH}$

### 3.2. Properties and NMR analysis

The dicyclopentadienylaluminium alkoxides ( $\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{8}$ ) were isolated as white or light-yellow solids by crystallisation from hexane, toluene or a toluene-hexane mixture. The compounds are air and moisture sensitive. In presence of a slight amount of oxygen brown solids are formed. Compounds $2-6$ are soluble in common hydrocarbon solvents - hexane, toluene. Derivative 7 is practically insoluble in hexane, hardly soluble in toluene. Compounds $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{8}$ are insoluble in hexane, soluble in toluene. All obtained compounds are soluble in THF. Compounds $\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{8}$ do not redistribute in presence of THF and do not form stable electron-donor complexes with
this solvent. After dissolving in THF and removal of the solvent in vacuum the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectra of $\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{8}$ showed no THF signals. Compound $\mathbf{8}$ was found to form clathrates with aromatic solvents (benzene, toluene, and mesitylene). The NMR spectra of the $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution of crystals $\mathbf{8}$ showed characteristic patterns of the aromatic solvents. In benzene solution the compounds $1-6$ were found to be dimers what was determined by cryoscopic molecular weight investigations. We could not measure the molecular weight of 7 and $\mathbf{8}$ due to low solubility of $\mathbf{7}$ and inability to get $\mathbf{8}$ without the aromatic solvent.

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of $\mathbf{1 - 8}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectra of $\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{8}$ in deuterated benzene showed signal patterns characteristic for alkoxy groups (1-7) or tert-butyl group on phenyl ring (8) in high field region and one signal of the cyclopentadienyl ligands in low one. In the low field region the characteristic signal pattern of para substituted phenyl ring (8) was detected as well. The spectroscopic data are consistent with the proposed chemical formulae of the obtained compounds. We were not able, however, to determine the nature of Cp bonding to aluminium atom in solution from NMR spectra. Rapid rotation of the cyclopentadienyl rings gives a single resonance in ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ - and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectrum for the cyclopentadienyl hydrogens and carbons. This behaviour is consistent with the calculated barrier of less than $3 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ for 1,2-migration of the metal centre about a cyclopentadienyl ring [2]. Similar dynamic behaviour was reported for other cyclopentadienyl compounds [11,12,14].
${ }^{27} \mathrm{Al}-\mathrm{NMR}$ chemical shifts of $\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{6}$ vary within range $78-104 \mathrm{ppm}$ and can be regarded as corresponding to four-coordinate aluminium centre [14]. The spectra of 7 and 8 could not be measured due to poor solubility (7) or overlapping with probe signal (8).

### 3.3. Molecular and crystal structure

The X-ray structure analysis of $\mathbf{2 , 5} 5$ and $\mathbf{6}$ revealed the presence of alkoxy-bridged centrosymmetric dimers in the solid state (Figs. 1-3). Some selected geometrical data are given in Table 2. The structural data for $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Al}-\mu-\mathrm{O} i-\mathrm{Pr}\right]_{2}$ (9) [14] are included in Table 2 for comparison. The $\mathrm{Al}_{2}(\mu-\mathrm{O})_{2}$ central ring is planar with almost identical bond lengths and bond angles values in all structures (Table 2).

In each reported dimer one of the $\mathrm{Al}-\mathrm{O}$ bond is shorter of about $0.02 \AA$ than the second one. (This differentiation could not be noticed in 5 due to substantial disorder observed). The reason of this could be the presence of the weak secondary, agostic type interactions between metal and the hydrogen of alkoxy $\alpha$-carbon atom $[22,23]$. The mean $\mathrm{Al} \cdots \mathrm{H}(11)$ contact is 2.87 Å.


Fig. 1. An ortep [24] diagram of 2 showing $50 \%$ probability of thermal ellipsoids. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.


Fig. 2. An ortep [24] diagram of 5 showing $30 \%$ probability of thermal ellipsoids. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.


Fig. 3. An ortep [24] diagram of 6 showing $30 \%$ probability of thermal ellipsoids. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

The spatial arrangement of Cp rings with respect to $\mathrm{Al}_{2}(\mu-\mathrm{O})_{2}$ plane is presented for compared structures in

Table 2
Selected bond lengths $(\AA)$ and bond angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ compounds 2, 5, 6 and $9^{\text {a }}$

|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{9}^{\mathrm{b}}[14]$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bond lengths |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Al}-\mathrm{O}$ | $1.822(1)$ | $1.821(1)$ | $1.821(2)$ | $1.821(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Al}-\mathrm{O}^{\prime}$ | $1.841(1)$ | $1.816(1)$ | $1.837(2)$ | $1.837(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Al}-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $2.006(2)$ | $1.985(2)$ | $2.006(3)$ | $2.003(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Al}-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $2.041(2)$ | $1.98(1)$ | $2.010(3)$ | $2.022(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Al} \cdots \mathrm{C}(2)$ | $2.468(2)$ | $2.37(1)$ | $2.722(3)$ | $2.709(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $1.448(2)$ | $1.431(2)$ | $1.475(3)$ | $1.473(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cp1}$ ring slippage | $1.278(4)$ | $1.384(4)$ | $1.811(5)$ | $1.747(5)$ |
| Bond angles |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Al}-\mathrm{O}^{\prime}$ | $80.48(6)$ | $81.27(6)$ | $80.79(7)$ | $80.85(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{Al}-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $124.79(7)$ | $121.6(3)$ | $119.3(2)$ | $118.66(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Al}-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $113.37(7)$ | $112.53(7)$ | $112.0(1)$ | $115.20(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}^{\prime}-\mathrm{Al}-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $113.07(7)$ | $111.64(7)$ | $116.2(1)$ | $114.50(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Al}-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $110.65(7)$ | $106.4(4)$ | $110.0(2)$ | $111.96(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}^{\prime}-\mathrm{Al}-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $105.49(7)$ | $116.0(5)$ | $112.1(1)$ | $109.63(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{Al}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Al}$ | $99.52(6)$ | $98.73(6)$ | $99.21(7)$ | $99.15(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cg}^{\prime}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Al}$ | $92.1(1)$ | $95.8(11)^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $108.7(1)$ | $106.7(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cg} 2-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{Al}$ | $112.5(1)$ | $118.8(1)$ | $114.6(1)$ | $113.8(1)$ |
| $T 1(\mathrm{Cg} 1-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Al}-$ | $179.7(1)$ | $177.1(8)$ | $-165.3(2)$ | $-167.4(1)$ |
| $\left.\mathrm{Al}^{\prime}\right)$ |  |  |  |  |
| $T 2(\mathrm{Cg} 2-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{Al}-$ | $47.0(1)$ | $51.6(2)$ | $61.6(2)$ | $50.2(2)$ |
| $\left.\mathrm{Al}^{\prime}\right)$ |  |  |  |  |

[^1]Fig. 4. As shown, Cp 1 rings are in anti while Cp 2 in syn position. The relevant orientation of Cp rings towards the central $\mathrm{Al}_{2}(\mu-\mathrm{O})_{2}$ core depends on the nature of alkoxy ligand. The different displacement of Cp 1 and Cp 2 rings regarding the type of substituents on $\alpha$-carbon atom of the alkoxy ligand is observed. This displacement is described by torsion angles $\mathrm{Cg} 1-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Al}-\mathrm{Al}^{\prime}$ $(T 1)$ and $\mathrm{Cg} 2-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{Al}-\mathrm{Al}^{\prime}(T 2)$, where Cg 1 and Cg 2 denote Cp-ring centroids. The deviation of the Cp1 ring from the parallel orientation to the central plane is negligible for primary alcohol's derivatives ( $T 1$ close to $180^{\circ}$ for 2 and 5) and significant for secondary ones ( $T 1$ equal approximately $-166^{\circ}$ ). It is noteworthy that although $\mathrm{C} p 2$ rings are in syn position to the $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ core they are located on the side of the bulkiest substituent. As a result Cp 2 rings are considerable swung from the central position $\left(T 2=0^{\circ}\right)$ and the values of $T 2$ varies from $47.0(1)^{\circ}$ in 2 to $61.6(2)^{\circ}$ in $\mathbf{6}$.

The cyclopentadienyl groups are bonded to Al atom in the $\eta^{1}$ manner. In all cases there is one short aluminium atom - ring carbon atom distance range from $1.985(2)$ to $2.066(2) \AA$. The $\mathrm{Al}-\mathrm{C}(2)$ contacts of $2.468(2)$ and $2.37(1) \AA$ for compounds 2 and 5, respectively, are falling in the bonding distance range for cyclopentadienylaluminium compounds [25]. The analysis of ring $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond lengths revealed however


Fig. 4. The Cp rings spatial arrangement towards $\mathrm{Al}_{2}(\mu-\mathrm{O})_{2}$ plane in four-coordinate dicyclopentadienylaluminium alkoxides. Top: side view perpendicular to the $\mathrm{Al}-\mathrm{Al}$ vector; bottom: view on the molecular $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ mean plane. The positions of atoms in the central ring are superimposed. The dark grey colours correspond to primary alcohols derivatives $\mathbf{2}$ and 5, the lighter to compound $\mathbf{6}$ and $\mathbf{9}$. The alkyl groups of all substituents bonded to the oxygen atoms are omitted for clarity.
their alteration consistent with $\eta^{1}$ hapticity. For example in case of 2 (the structure was determined at 100 K ) there are two short distances $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ and $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ of $1.375(3)$ and $1.378(3) \AA$, two long bonds $C(1)-C(2)$ and $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5) 1.434(3)$ and $1.432(3) \AA$, respectively, and the remaining $C(3)-C(4)$ of $1.394(3) \AA$.

The analysis of angles between ring centroids Cg 1 , Cg 2 , carbons $\mathrm{C}(1), \mathrm{C}(6)$ and aluminium atom revealed the different nature of $\eta^{1}$ bonding in studied compounds. The angles $\mathrm{Cg} 1-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Al}$ in $\mathbf{2}$ and 5 are close to $90^{\circ}$ what points $\eta^{1}(\pi)$ type interactions. The remaining angles values (see Table 2) are above $105^{\circ}$ what shows $\eta^{1}(\sigma) \mathrm{Cp}-\mathrm{Al}$ bond character [26].

Due to various $\mathrm{Cp}-\mathrm{Al} \eta^{1}(\sigma)$ or $\eta^{1}(\pi)$ character and diversified Cp rings orientation versus central $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ core there are significant differences in ring-slippage of Cp 1 and Cp 2 [27]. Although Cp 1 ring is in anti position it is closer to aluminium atom than Cp 2 ring. For all compounds the Cpl ring-slippage values range from 1.28 to $1.82 \AA$ and they are much less than ones of Cp2 (above $2.0 \AA$ ). The shorter Cp 1 ring-slippage values corresponds to $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Al}-\mu-\mathrm{OR}\right]_{2}$ derivatives of primary alcohols (Table 2).

We found the ${ }^{27} \mathrm{Al}$-NMR chemical shift of aluminium atom in the studied aluminium compounds also depends


Fig. 5. Plot of the ${ }^{27} \mathrm{Al}$ chemical shift vs. the Cp 1 cyclopentadienyl ring slippage in four-coordinate dicyclopentadienylaluminium alkoxides.
on alcohol's order. The observed ${ }^{27} \mathrm{Al}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectra for $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Al}-\mu-\mathrm{OR}\right]_{2}$ derivatives of primary alcohols $\mathbf{2} \mathbf{- 5}$ showed signals at the range $84-91 \mathrm{ppm}$. For secondary ones 6, 9 the signals of aluminium atom are shifted downfield (102-104 ppm). It shows that in $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Al}-\mu-\right.$ $\mathrm{OR}]_{2}$ compounds derived from primary alcohols the cyclopentadienyl rings cause higher electron density around aluminium atom than in secondary ones. The more alkyl substituents are located on $\alpha$-carbon atom of alkoxy ligand the weaker aluminium atom shielding of Cp ring is observed.

We found that the chemical shift of ${ }^{27} \mathrm{Al}-\mathrm{NMR}$ signals correlates with X-ray structural parameter Cpl ringslippage values (Fig. 5). The correlation factor is equal to 0.98 . According to this relation it is possible to determine $\mathrm{Cp}-\mathrm{Al}$ bonding mode in $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Al}-\mu \text {-OR }\right]_{2}$ compounds on the basis of the ${ }^{27} \mathrm{Al}-\mathrm{NMR}$ chemical shift without diffraction studies. For example, compounds 3 and 4 showed signals of aluminium atoms at 85 ppm and 90 ppm , respectively. It implies one of the Cp ring to be $\eta^{1}(\sigma)$ bonded to Al atom and second one $\eta^{1}(\pi)$. In case of compound 1 where ${ }^{27} \mathrm{Al}$-NMR spectra showed signals at 78 ppm one of the cyclopentadienyl rings is probably $\eta^{1.5}$ or $\eta^{2}$ bonded to aluminium atom and second one $\eta^{1.1}$.

## 4. Conclusion

We affirmed the agreement of the data obtained for solution and solid state phases of analysed $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Al}-\mu-\right.$ $\mathrm{OR}]_{2}$ compounds. The ${ }^{27} \mathrm{Al}-\mathrm{NMR}$ chemical shift correlates well with Cp ring slippage-the X-ray structural parameter. Based on these results we showed the influence of substituents on $\alpha$-carbon atom of alkoxy

[^2]ligand on the Cp bonding mode. In the derivatives of secondary alcohols both $C p$ rings are $\eta^{1}(\sigma)$ bonded to aluminium atom while in ones of primary alcohols exhibits $\eta^{1}(\sigma)$ and $\eta^{1}(\pi) \mathrm{Cp}-\mathrm{Al}$ bonding mode. For $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Al}-\mu-\mathrm{OMe}\right]_{2}$ we postulate that the one of cyclopentadienyl ring is $\eta^{1.5}$ or $\eta^{2}$ and the second one $\eta^{1}$ bonded to the aluminium atom.

## 5. Supplementary material

Crystallographic data for the structural analysis have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, CCDC nos. 178972-178974 for compounds 2, 5 and 6, respectively. Copies of this information may be obtained free of charge from The Director, CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK (Fax: +44-1223-336033; e-mail: deposit@ccdc.cam. ac.uk or www: http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk).
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[^1]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Atoms labelled with prime belong to the centrosymmetric counterparts of the dimeric unit.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ The literature values are presented according to the atom numbering scheme used in this work.
    ${ }^{c}$ The mean value for two disordered positions of the Cp 1 ring.
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